

# **COUNCIL COMMUNICATION**

AGENDA TITLE:

Adopt Resolution Opposing SB 1717 Regarding Changes to Annexation Law

MEETING DATE:

April 3, 2002

PREPARED BY:

**Public Works Director** 

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

That the City Council review Senate Bill 1717 concerning non-contiguous

municipal annexations and adopt a resolution opposing the bill.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

Senator Machado recently introduced Senate Bill 1717 which would modify State Law pertaining to municipal annexations. The bill would affect the City's annexed property at White Slough. A copy of

the bill is attached with new language shown in italics.

As introduced, the bill appears to prohibit the City's current agricultural and potential power plant leases unless the property was deannexed. If that were to occur, while we could still own the land, the City would a) lose land use approval authority over that property and become subject to County rule; and b) pay property tax on the property. For example, under County rule, the City would not be allowed to apply biosolids to the land. Obviously this is of concern to the City of Lodi and the issue of local control is also of importance. According to the Senator's staff, the issue of a City's annexing property for one purpose, then later changing the use, is of concern, not just in this area, but statewide.

Staff will make a more detailed presentation on the bill at the City Council meeting.

FUNDING:

Not applicable.

Richard C. Prima, **Public Works Director** 

RCP/Im

attachment

cc: City Attorney

Water/Wastewater Superintendent

APPROVED: H. Ďixon (Flynn City Manager

COPPOSESB1717

03/28/02

BILL NUMBER: SB 1717 INTRODUCED
BILL TEXT

INTRODUCED BY Senator Machado

#### FEBRUARY 21, 2002

An act to amend Section 56742 of the Government Code, relating to city annexations.

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 1717, as introduced, Machado. City annexations.

Under existing law, upon approval of the local agency formation commission, a city may annex noncontiguous territory not exceeding 300 acres, located in the same county, that the city owns and uses for municipal purposes. If, after the annexation, the city sells all or part of that territory, the territory no longer owned by the city ceases to be part of the city.

This bill would provide that territory annexed in this manner that is subsequently leased by the city for nonmunicipal uses shall cease to be part of the city.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

#### THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Section 56742 of the Government Code is amended to read:

56742. Notwithstanding Section 56741, upon approval of the commission a city may annex noncontiguous territory not exceeding 300 acres in area, which is located in the same county as that in which the city is situated, and which is owned by the city and is being used for municipal purposes at the time commission proceedings are initiated. If, after the completion of the annexation, the city sells that territory or any part of that territory, or leases that territory or any part of that territory for nonmunicipal uses, all of the territory which is no longer owned by the city, or which has been leased by the city, shall cease to be a part of the city. Territory which is used by a city for reclamation, disposal, and storage of treated wastewater may be annexed to the city pursuant to this section without limitation as to the size of the area encompassed within the territory so annexed.

If territory is annexed pursuant to this section, the annexing city may not annex any territory not owned by the city and not contiguous to the city, although the territory is contiguous to the territory annexed pursuant to this section.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, a city which annexes territory pursuant to this section may annex additional territory in the same county as that in which the city is situated which is owned by the United States government or the State of California and which is contiguous to the first-annexed territory if the total acreage of the first-annexed and the subsequently annexed territory together does not exceed 300 acres in area. If after the completion of the subsequent annexation, the city sells all or any part of the first-annexed territory, the subsequently annexed territory shall cease to be part of the city if the subsequently annexed territory is no longer contiguous to territory owned by the

city.

When territory ceases to be part of a city pursuant to this section, the legislative body of the city shall adopt a resolution confirming the detachment. The resolution shall describe the detached territory and shall be accompanied by a map indicating the territory. Immediately upon adoption of the resolution, the city clerk shall make any filing required by Chapter 8 (commencing with Section 57200) of Part 4.

If territory annexed to a city pursuant to this section becomes contiguous to the city, the limitations imposed by this section shall cease to apply.

#### RESOLUTION NO. 2002-74

### A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LODI EXPRESSING ITS OPPOSITION TO SENATE BILL 1717 (MACHADO)

WHEREAS, local control is essential in order to be able to responsibly and effectively provide local services; and

WHEREAS, it is the responsibility of local government to manage, treat, and dispose of sewage; and

WHEREAS, public/private partnerships have proven helpful in meeting this responsibility; and

WHEREAS, the City of Lodi has noncontiguous annexed property used to assist it in the reclamation, disposal, and storage of treated wastewater; and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 1717 (Machado) is a direct attack on local control and therefore the ability of local government to provide services.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Lodi City Council, that it strongly opposes Senate Bill 1717 (Machado) due to its extremely intrusive nature into local control by its attempt to dictate how a city utilizes property, which is legally within its boundary and owned by that City.

Dated: April 3, 2002

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 2002-74 was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Lodi in a regular meeting held April 3, 2002 by the following vote:

AYES:

COUNCIL MEMBERS - Howard, Land, Nakanishi, and Mayor

Pennino

NOES:

COUNCIL MEMBERS - None

ABSENT:

COUNCIL MEMBERS - Hitchcock

ABSTAIN:

COUNCIL MEMBERS - None

SUSAN J. BLACKSTON

City Clerk

From:

Dixon Flynn

Sent: To: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 3:19 PM City Council; Machado (E-mail)

Cc:

Management Team

Subject:

FW: Lodi Sports Complex

----Original Message----

From: Marks, Leonard [mailto:MarksLe@sutterhealth.org]

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 3:44 PM

To: 'flynn@lodi.gov'

Subject: FW: Lodi Sports Complex

#### Dear sirs:

I am opposed to SB#1717 and sincerely hope the City of Lodi will oppose this bill. I wholehearted support the Pro Style Sports Complex that is proposed in Lodi, Ca. Not only will it benefit the kids in your community as well as throughout the state, but also it will provide a significant increase in outside persons using your restaurants, motels and businesses. It will have a significant positive impact on your community.

Respectfully submitted,

Leonard J. Marks

From:

Dixon Flynn

Sent:

Wednesday, April 03, 2002 3:20 PM City Council; Machado (E-mail)

To: Cc:

Management Team

Subject:

FW: Opposition to SB#1717

-----Original Message----From: Ball, Michael E. (PS, NE) [mailto:michael.ball@gene.GE.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 3:05 PM
To: flynn@lodi.gov
Subject: Opposition to SB#1717

To whom it may concern,

I am opposed to SB #1717 and hope the City of Lodi will oppose SB #1717; and

I support the Pro Style Sports Complex that is proposed in Lodi, Ca

Michael E Ball

From: Dixon Flynn

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 3:16 PM

To: City Council; Machado (E-mail)

Cc: Management Team Subject: FW: SoccerFields

----Original Message-----

From: John Weiler [mailto:john.weiler@clear-cxn.net]

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 6:01 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov Subject: Fw: SoccerFields

Dear Mr. Flynn,

"I am opposed to SB # 1717 and hope the City of Lodi will oppose SB # 1717; and I support the Pro Style Sports Complex that is proposed in Lodi, Ca."

Sincerely,

John Weiler

From: Dixon Flynn

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 3:13 PM

To: City Council; Machado (E-mail)

Cc: Management Team
Subject: FW: Sports Complex

----Original Message----

From: AzzurriFC@aol.com [mailto:AzzurriFC@aol.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 02, 2002 7:16 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov Subject: Sports Complex

I hope you are opposed to SB#1717, because I am also. we would be hurting many people if this passes.

Jason Spain

From:

Dixon Flynn

Sent: To: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 3:13 PM City Council; Machado (E-mail)

Cc:

Management Team

Subject:

FW: Senate Bill No. 1717

-----Original Message-----

From: JMurphy752@aol.com [mailto:JMurphy752@aol.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 7:49 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov

Subject: Senate Bill No. 1717

Dear Mr. Flynn:

As the Chairman of the California Youth Soccer Association, the largest youth soccer association in the United States, and as a long time supporter of youth sports in Northern California, I am writing to inform you that I am opposed to Senate Bill number 1717 and the serious adverse effect the legislation, if enacted, might have on the proposed Pro Style Sports Complex in Lodi. Our youth as well as adults are very much in need of sports complexes and we are hopeful that the sports complex in Lodi can be built to the benefit not only of the citizens of Lodi and the surrounding communities but to the benefit of all youth in Northern California through the support of organizations such as the California Youth Soccer Association.

I do hope that the City of Lodi will strongly oppose Senate Bill No. 1717 and work aggressively to defeat it.

Very truly yours,

John Murphy

From: Dixon Flynn

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 3:12 PM

To: City Council; Machado (E-mail)

Cc: Management Team Subject: FW: sports complex

-----Original Message-----

From: Rodney Uren [mailto:rodney1@jps.net] Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 8:16 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov Subject: sports complex

I am opposed to sb #1717 and hope the city of Lodi will oppose sb #1717; and I support the pro style sports complex that is proposed in Lodi, Ca.

Rodney Uren YSYSL

From: Dixon Flynn

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 3:11 PM

To: City Council; Machado (E-mail)

Cc: Management TeamSubject: FW: Soccer Fields

----Original Message----

From: Wolfgang Rochert [mailto:wolfgang707@attbi.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 10:02 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov Subject: Soccer Fields

Dear City Manager Dixon Flynn

I support the Pro Style Sports Complex to become part of Lodi and hope that the City of Lodi opposes SB#1717.

Thank you very much

Wolfgang Rochert Lodi

From: Dixon Flynn

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 3:10 PM
To: City Council; Machado (E-mail)

Cc: Management Team Subject: FW: SB #1717

----Original Message----

From: FRANK VARNI [mailto:cysosoccer@msn.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 9:57 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov Cc: basklof@lodinet.com Subject: SB #1717

#### Dear Mr. Dixon Flynn:

I was forward the below e-mail and as President of Ceres Youth Soccer and a parent of three boys that have played soccer for 20 years I understand the need for sports. I am also aware that every town and their elected officials understand the benefits that organized sports contribute to the community.

Which makes it hard for me to understand why anyone would attempt to prohibit local support "partnering" to develop a complex that only improves the quality of life and promotes family ties between children and their parents.

To oppose the ability to raise money to build and develop a site under the current budget constraints that the State and Cities are facing is wrong. People are asked every day to help someone and to be able to help so many within your own town is an opportunity most cities would welcome.

Please do not let prevent something so positive from occurring.

Sincerely,

Frank Varni President Ceres Youth Soccer

#### RECEIVED 4/2/02

"I am opposed to SB #1717 and hope the City of Lodi will oppose SB #1717; and I support the Pro Style Sports Complex that is proposed in Lodi, Ca."

I would appreciate it if you would encourage friends to send the same email. This will help us get soccer fields and other athletic facilities built in Lodi, Ca. A member of the California State Legislature that is controlled by the "Sierra Club" and the "Farm Bureau" has submitted Bill #1717 that is directed specifically at the proposed Sports Complex in Lodi, Ca. The Bill would stop cities from partnering with "private enterprise" on city owned land. If the bill passes the Sports Complex would NOT be built.

Dixon Flynn is the City Manager of Lodi.

From: Dixon Flynn

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 3:09 PM

To: City Council; Machado (E-mail)

Cc: Management Team Subject: FW: Soccer fields

----Original Message----

From: Raina Rochert [mailto:rainaro@attbi.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 10:06 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov Subject: Soccer fields

Dear City Manager Dixon Flynn

I support the Pro Style Sports Complex to become part of Lodi and hope that the City of Lodi opposes SB#1717.

Thank you very much

Raina Rochert Lodi

From: Dixon Flynn

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 3:07 PM

To: City Council; Machado (E-mail)

Cc: Management TeamSubject: FW: Soccer Fields

-----Original Message-----

From: Nathalie Rochert [mailto:nathaliero@attbi.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 10:06 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov Subject: Soccer Fields

Dear City Manager Dixon Flynn

I support the Pro Style Sports Complex to become part of Lodi and hope that the City of Lodi opposes SB#1717.

Thank you very much

Nathalie Rochert Lodi

From: Dixon Flynn

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 3:07 PM

To: City Council; Machado (E-mail)

Cc: Management Team

Subject: FW: Soccer

----Original Message-----

From: Jenna Reynolds [mailto:jenna02@attbi.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 10:07 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov Subject: Soccer

Dear City Manager Dixon Flynn

I support the Pro Style Sports Complex to become part of Lodi and hope that the City of Lodi opposes SB#1717.

Thank you very much

Jenna Reynolds Lodi

From: Dixon Flynn

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 3:05 PM
To: City Council; Machado (E-mail)

Cc: Management Team
Subject: FW: Lodi Sports Complex

----Original Message-----

From: Trent Thomason [mailto:tntyc@pacbell.net]

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 6:22 AM

To: flynn@lodi.gov

Subject: Lodi Sports Complex

Being active in youth sports for over 15 years in the Northern Sacramento City and Valley Region causes me to have grave concern over the impact of SB 1717 currently being considered in our State Senate. It certainly appears that this legislation has the intent to stop development by limiting the use of private/public partnerships which would effectively put a stop to the Pro Style Sports Complex currently being considered in your town.

Not only does a complex such as the one proposed serve many of the youth in a community it also provides a significant economic impact to local businesses. The economic activity created by Sacramento's Cherry Island complex is formidable. Other complexes such as the Riverfront Park Complex located between Marysville & Yuba City impact the local economy in the same way.

With fiscal limitations being what they are in our State and Local economies it is imperative that the ability for public/private partnerships is preserved or risk few if any of these types of facilities being built in the region. I would strongly suggest that the City of Lodi not support SB 1717 as it would likely prohibit the development of these much needed facilities.

Trent Thomason
Executive Board Member
Yuba Sutter Youth Soccer League

From:

Dixon Flynn

Sent:

Wednesday, April 03, 2002 2:53 PM

To:

City Council, Machado (E-mail)

Cc:

Management Team

Subject:

FW: Lodi Sports Complex



Lodi Sports Complex

----Original Message----

From: Rbcoerver@aol.com [mailto:Rbcoerver@aol.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 8:24 AM

To: flynn@lodi.gov

Subject: Fwd: Lodi Sports Complex

In a message dated 4/2/02 3:11:04 PM Pacific Standard Time, Cysakarl writes:

<< I am opposed to SB #1717 and hope the City of Lodi will oppose SB #1717; and I support the Pro Style Sports Complex that is proposed in Lodi, Ca."

We would appreciate it if you would encourage friends to send the same email. This will help us get soccer fields and other athletic facilities built in Lodi, Ca. A member of the California State Legislature that is controlled by the "Sierra Club" and the "Farm Bureau" has submitted Bill #1717 that is directed specifically at the proposed Sports Complex in Lodi, Ca. The Bill would stop cities from partnering with "private enterprise" on city owned land. If the bill passes the Sports Complex would NOT be built. >>

From: Cysakarl@aol.com

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 3:11 PM

To: C.BALES@ATTBI.COM; michael.ball@gene.GE.com; Rbcoerver@aol.com; bstj@chevron.com;

Hbillsssu@aol.com; Ancairel@aol.com; jcambra@home.com; orlando@soccergenesis.com; scochran@jps.net; rohpi@hotmail.com; SOCCEREDISON@cs.com; de\_Graef@pacbell.net; wvdemar@fresno.k12.ca.us; samba@gv.net; rerlach@earthlink.net; jesfandiary@yahoo.com;

CYSA8FRANK@aol.com; TahoeRef@aol.com; jhodgson@onemain.com;

lynnholland@email.msn.com; roadking@snowcrest.net; clayrosie01@hotmail.com; McDuff Animal@email.com; macmillan@usfca.edu; MarksLe@sutterhealth.org;

Juan\_Mayora@Yahoo.com; peaksoccer@hotmail.com; SOKAROO@aol.com; rrrobitaille@att.net;

Rojas Luis@MSN.COM; yakie@jps.net; Lsiadat@aol.com; john\_tomei@gap.com;

bvadi1@yahoo.com; arsenaldon@attbi.com

Cc:

raskhof@attbi.com

Subject: Lodi Sports Complex

We would appreciate everyone sending the following email, or SIMILAR words to the following email address flynn@lodi.gov at your EARLIEST convenience:

"I am opposed to SB # 1717 and hope the City of Lodi will oppose SB # 1717; and I support the Pro Style Sports Complex that is proposed in Lodi, Ca."

We would appreciate it if you would encourage friends to send the same email. This will help us get soccer fields and other athletic facilities built in Lodi, Ca. A member of the California State Legislature that is controlled by the "Sierra Club" and the "Farm Bureau" has submitted Bill # 1717 that is directed specifically at the proposed Sports Complex in Lodi, Ca. The Bill would stop cities from partnering with "private enterprise" on city owned land. If the bill passes the Sports Complex would NOT be built.

Dixon Flynn is the City Manager of Lodi.

Thank you, Bob Asklof and Karl Dewazien

From: Dixon Flynn

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 2:54 PM

To: City Council; Machado (E-mail)

Cc: Management Team

Subject: FW: Lodi Sports Facility is a good thing

----Original Message-----

From: Don Harms [mailto:edysl@hotmail.com] Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 7:03 AM

To: flynn@lodi.gov

Subject: Lodi Sports Facility is a good thing

As a police officer and father of two children, I urge you to reconsider the Lodi Sports Facility. Providing positive choices for activities in a community to pay huge dividends in comparison to other illegal youth activities.

Send and receive Hotmail on your mobile device: Click Here

From:

Dixon Flynn

Sent:

Wednesday, April 03, 2002 2:47 PM City Council; Machado (E-mail)

To: Cc:

Management Team

Subject:

FW:

----Original Message-----

From: Linda SoRelle [mailto:cysad7office@juno.com] Sent: Thursday, March 28, 2002 6:07 AM To: flynn@lodi.gov Subject:

I am opposed to SB #1717 and hope the City of Lodi will oppose SB #1717; and I support th ePro Style Sports Complex that is proposed in Lodi, CA

Linda SoRelle District VII Commissioner (P)559-227-CYSA (F)559-227-CYSA

From:

Dixon Flynn

Sent:

Wednesday, April 03, 2002 2:50 PM

To:

City Council; Machado (E-mail)

Cc: Subject: Management Team
FW: Fw: Lodi Sports Complex

----Original Message----

From: WRubendall@aol.com [mailto:WRubendall@aol.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 9:37 AM

To: flynn@lodi.gov

Subject: Re: Fw: Lodi Sports Complex

Dear Mr. Flynn:

It has been brought to my attention that SB#1717 directly impacts the city of Lodi insfofar as partnering with the private sector on the city's land. It saddens me that this might become law, and that the proposed Sports Complex may never happen.

It has been several years since I first heard that Lodi would have a sports complex that would enable Northern California to host large events, even "national" level sporting events.

The 50+ soccer field complex in Blaine, Minnesota which hosts the USA Cup comes to my mind. I have taken a team there several years ago, and may do it again someday. Wouldn't it be nice if Northern California, particularly Lodi (a beautiful and hospitable city) could someday host a national/international event?

I support whatever the city of Lodi is doing to make this dream come true.

Very truly yours,

Bill Rubendall Concord, California

From: Dixon Flynn

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 2:26 PM

To: City Council; Machado (E-mail)

Cc: Management Team

Subject: FW: SB #1717

-----Original Message-----

From: Northrup John [mailto:fourwinds66@mindspring.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 1:34 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov Subject: SB #1717

I strongly support the Pro Style Sports Complex already proposed in Lodi, and oppose SB #1717 as I also

encouage the City of Lodi to do.

John C. Northrup

From:

Dixon Flynn

Wednesday, April 03, 2002 3:17 PM Sent:

City Council To:

Management Team; Machado (E-mail) Cc: FW: We Need the Sports Complex! Subject:

----Original Message-----

From: cliffe@meyersound.com [mailto:cliffe@meyersound.com] Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 5:44 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov

Subject: We Need the Sports Complex!

Northern California is in dire need of a major sports complex to hold the many soccer tournaments held throughout the year. The proposed Lodi complex will fit that need (and incidentally, provide significant revenue to Lodi and the surrounding area). Thus, I am opposed to SB #1717 and hope the City of Lodi will oppose SB #1717 as well. Sincerely,

Clifford D. Eldridge

From: Dixon Flynn

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 3:18 PM

To: City Council; Machado (E-mail)

Cc: Management Team

Subject: FW: Pro Sports Complex!

----Original Message----

From: Mason Goodale [mailto:masong@goldrush.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 5:21 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov

Subject: Pro Sports Complex!

I am opposed to SB #1717 and hope the City of Lodi will oppose SB #1717; and I support the Pro Style Sports Complex that is proposed in Lodi, Ca.

Thank You, Mason Goodale

From:

Sent:

Dixon Flynn Wednesday, April 03, 2002 3:18 PM City Council; Machado (E-mail)

To: Cc:

Subject:

Management Team FW: Build the Fields!!!

-----Original Message-----

From: Jay Bedecarre [mailto:lbbjay@pacbell.net] Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 5:06 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov

Subject: Build the Fields!!!

I am opposed to SB #1717 and hope the City of Lodi will oppose SB #1717; and I support the Pro Style Sports Complex that is proposed in Lodi. Our youth need soccer and other sports fields!!!!

1-4

#### Jennifer Perrin

From: Dennis Lewis [info@adopt-achild.org]

**Sent:** Monday, April 01, 2002 7:45 PM

To: Susan Blackston; nakanishi@lodi.gov; pennino@lodi.gov; hitchcock@lodi.gov; howard@lodi.gov;

land@lodi.gov

Subject: Senate Bill 1717 by Senator Mike Machado

#### Dear Council members:

Senator Mike Machado is sponsoring Senate Bill 1717 to preclude a City like the City of Lodi from considering the use of existing noncontiguous territory used to provide Municipal Waste Water services with a joint venture like the Lodi ProStyle Sports Complex at Highway 12 and 1-5. As an organization dedicated to helping children of the Lodi Unified School District we are in support of this worthwhile idea although we have not yet concluded our review of the Environmental documents and the business plan. We think it is entirely inappropriate for Senator Machado to be preempting any City's opportunity to participate in a public private partnership like the ProStyle Sports Complex. As we all know, the opportunity for additional youth activities and family opportunities at a sports venue are critical to our children and our community concerning delinquency, truancy and other issues that result in a drain of services on our society as children become adults. We whole-heartedly support a Council Resolution to oppose the Senator Machado bill.

As an organization, we look forward to the City's opportunity to continue with its public hearing process concerning the ProStyle Sports Complex and to bring this Project before the policy makers for a fair consideration of its merits and value to our community without the limitations imposed by SB1717.

Sincerely and in service,

Dennis E. Lewis, President
The Lodi Adopt-A-Child Foundation

From: Rick Gerlack [like@attbi.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2002 7:55 AM

To: cityclrk@lodi.gov; nakanishi@lodi.gov; pennino@lodi.gov; hitchcock@lodi.gov; howard@lodi.gov;

land@lodi.gov

Subject: ProStyle Support

Dear Councilmember, The attachment letter is from the United Fire Fighters of Lodi in support of the use of the cities property for the betterment of the community. Thankyou

**Lodi City Council Attention: City Clerk** 

**RE: PROSTYLE SPORTS COMPLEX** 

#### **Dear Councilmember:**

We have been advised that Senator Mike Machado is sponsoring Senate Bill 1777 to preclude the City of Lodi from considering the opportunity to provide Municipal Waste Water services in concert with the Lodi ProStyle Sports Complex at Hwy. 12 and I-5. As you may know, we are in support of the project although we have not yet concluded our review of the Environmental documents and the business plan for the proposal which we understand will be forth coming to the City Council in a few months. We think it is entirely inappropriate for Senator Machado to be pre-empting the City's opportunity to participate in a public private partnership with the Sports Complex.

We whole-heartedly support the opportunity to provide family activities and family opportunities for parental support and supervision in a sports atmosphere. We have learned from experience that the additional support that we as a community can bring to the family structure improves our quality of life and provides significant value as these children become later adults and live in our community. On a separate but related note, the opportunity to provide these sports activities and competitive venues for our families will also retain those dollars that are continuing to drain out of our community and at the same time, we can attract outside economic value for benefits. From a health perspective, which the Fire Fighters has a significant interest in, for a more healthier and activity oriented life style, we believe that the ProStyle Sports Complex will provide those additional family opportunities for exercise and activities to make a value added wellness feature to our community fabric. Although we have not yet finished our review of the environmental documents and the business plan, we are looking forward to the public hearings concerning it. As such, we whole-heartedly support the Council's Resolution to oppose the Machado Bill to allow the City of Lodi to maintain its own integrity and opportunity to control its properties for future Municipal Waste Water in a public private partnership with the ProStyle Sports Complex.

Very truly yours,

Rick Gerlack

From: Dixon Flynn

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 02, 2002 2:51 PM

To: City Council

Cc: Management Team; Susan Lake

Subject: FW: opposed to SB #1717

#### Susan

#### Send to Machado

----Original Message----

From: Scott Nanik [mailto:snanik@mlode.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 1:43 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov

Subject: opposed to SB #1717

### Dixon Flynn,

I am opposed to SB # 1717 and hope the City of Lodi will oppose SB # 1717; and I support the Pro Style Sports Complex that is proposed in Lodi, CA.

I feel that cities partnering with private enterprises is a win/win situation for all involved, especially our youth.

### Scott Nanik

From: Dixon Flynn

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 2:44 PM

To: City Council

Cc: Management Team

Subject: FW: SB #1717

----Original Message-----

From: Ray Welch [mailto:raywelch@earthlink.net]

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 2:26 PM To: Dixon Flynn - Lodi City Manager

Subject: SB #1717

#### Dear Mr Flynn:

As a longtime supporter of Youth Sports in Northern California and a former member of the Board of Directors of the largest Youth Soccer Association in the United States, I am very much opposed to SB #1717 and the specific effect the Bill, if passed, might have on the proposed Pro Style Sports Complex in Lodi, CA.

I would sincerely hope the City of Lodi and it's administration will strongly oppose SB #1717, and work very aggressively to help defeat SB #1717. We cannot let the Sierra Club and the Farm Bureau stop another Sports Complex from being built in California. The facility in Lodi is very much needed by the Youth, and the Adults in Lodi and the surrounding communities.

Sincerely,

Ray Welch Elk Grove, CA.

From: Dixon Flynn

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 2:46 PM

To: City Council

Cc: Management Team; Susan Lake

Subject: FW: In Favor of Pro Style Sports Complex

#### Susan

#### Send to Machado

----Original Message----

From: Cysakarl@aol.com [mailto:Cysakarl@aol.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 2:03 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov

Cc: raskhof@attbi.com; midfield@castles.com Subject: In Favor of Pro Style Sports Complex

Dear Mr. Dixon Flynn, City Manager of Lodi.

I am opposed to SB # 1717 and hope the City of Lodi will oppose SB # 1717; and I support the Pro Style Sports Complex that is proposed in Lodi, Ca. Thank you for your support...!

Karl Dewazien California Youth Soccer Association State Coaching Director

From:

Sent:

Dixon Flynn Tuesday, April 02, 2002 2:47 PM City Council

To:

Cc:

Management Team; Susan Lake FW: SB #1717

Subject:

Susan

Send to Machado

----Original Message-----

From: laskloff@wm.com [mailto:laskloff@wm.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 1:55 PM To: flynn@lodi.gov Subject: SB #1717

I am opposed to Sb #1717 and I hope that you will oppose it, also. I am in support of the Pro Style Sports Complex that has been proposed in Lodi.

Thank you,

Lisa A. Asklof

From:

Dixon Flynn

Sent:

Tuesday, April 02, 2002 2:48 PM

To:

City Council

Cc:

Management Team; Susan Lake

Subject:

FW: ŠB #1717

Susan

Send to Machado

----Original Message----

From: Lisa Asklof [mailto:lisasklof@hotmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 1:52 PM

To: flynn@lodi.gov Subject: SB #1717

Dear City Manager Dixon Flynn,

I am opposed to SB #1717. I hope that you will oppose it, too. I fully support the Pro Style Sports Complex that has been proposed in Lodi.

I think it is a good idea, and everyone in the area will benefit from it, not just the people in Lodi. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Thank You, Lisa A. Asklof

Chat with friends online, try MSN Messenger: http://messenger.msn.com

From:

Dixon Flynn

Sent:

Tuesday, April 02, 2002 2:48 PM

To:

City Council

Cc: Subject: Management Team; Susan Lake FW: In favor of sports complex

Susan

Send to Machado

----Original Message----

From: charlie@grimaud.com [mailto:charlie@grimaud.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2002 1:51 PM

To: 'flynn@lodi.gov'

Cc: 'badams@ci.manteca.ca.us'
Subject: In favor of sports complex

Mr. Flynn [and Mr. Adams];

I am very much in favor of the development of the Pro Style Sports Complex proposed for Lodi; I only wish it were going in within the city of Manteca! As I understand it, I am opposed to senate bill #1717, which would prohibit cities from partnering with private businesses to develop sporting facilities. I think we need more, not less, of these activities. Manteca is working on developing the Big League Dreams project at Woodward Park. I would love to see more athletic facilities going in for the youth, whether fields for soccer, baseball, football or other outdoor sports, or performing arts centers for dance and musical performances. If the way to fund such development is by partnering with private organizations, I am all for it so long as city/county staff appropriately review all agreements and protect the local citizens.

Thank you, Charlie Scott Director, Manteca Area Soccer League father to 4 active kids